

REMARKS

In the Official Action mailed on **20 May 2008**, the Examiner reviewed claims 1, 3-12, 14-23, and 25-33. Examiner rejected claims 1, 3-12, 14-23, and 25-33 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) based on Reed et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,345,288 hereinafter “Reed”), and Bischoff et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,718,377 hereinafter “Bischoff”).

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Examiner rejected claims 1, 3-12, 14-23, and 25-33 as being unpatentable over Reed in view of Bischoff. Applicant respectfully disagrees. Neither Reed nor Bischoff, either separately or in concert, includes a second component that is capable of providing a **viewer object** that enables the third component to **display transfer data** associated with the data transfer session object’s (DTSO’s) data type.

Reed discloses a provider that sends a communication object with information about the protocols and formats that the provider can support (Reed, column 12, lines 47-50). But these protocols and formats only allow the consumer to communicate with the provider, and do not enable the consumer to display data associated with the data type of the transferred data. Bischoff is altogether silent on viewer objects that enable the receiver to display data associated with the data type of the transferred data.

In contrast, embodiments of the present invention include a second component that is capable of providing a **viewer object** that enables the third component to **display transfer data** associated with the DTSO’s data type (see instant application, paragraph [0040]). This approach enables the third component to view the particular data type associated with the DTSO and being transferred despite not being previously programmed to view such types of data (see instant application, page17, lines 6-9).

Accordingly, Applicant has amended independent claims 1, 8, 12, 19, 23, and 30 to clarify that the system includes a second component that is capable of providing a **viewer object** that enables the third component to **display transfer data** associated with the DTSO's data type. These amendments find support in paragraph [004] of the instant application. No new matter has been added.

Hence, Applicant respectfully submits that independent claims 1, 8, 12, 19, 23, and 30 as presently amended are in condition for allowance. Applicant also submits that claims 3-7, which depend upon claim 1, claims 9-11, which depend upon claim 8, claims 14-18, which depend upon claim 12, claims 20-22, which depend upon claim 19, claims 25-29, which depend upon claim 23, and claims 31-33, which depend upon claim 30, are for the same reasons in condition for allowance and for reasons of the unique combinations recited in such claims.

CONCLUSION

It is submitted that the present application is presently in form for allowance. Such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By /Shun Yao/
Shun Yao
Registration No. 59,242

Date: 18 August 2008

Shun Yao
PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP
2820 Fifth Street
Davis, CA 95618-7759
Tel: (530) 759-1667
FAX: (530) 759-1665
Email: shun@parklegal.com